

# Unvaccinated children are healthier

*Now it's officially proven: Vaccination is bad for your health. Vaccinated children and adolescents have many times more allergies, suffer more often from developmental disorders, have significantly more infections and more chronic illnesses. Responsible parents inform themselves and do not have their children vaccinated!*

From May 2003 to May 2006, Germany's highest health authority, the Robert Koch Institute, conducted a large study called KiGGS on physical and mental health with 17,641 children and adolescents between the ages of 0 and 17. The children and their parents were first asked to complete a comprehensive questionnaire. In the second part, an interview was conducted by a doctor and blood and urine were analysed. Vaccination records were also copied where available. In the end, around 1,500 data values were available for each of the participants. That is a total of more than 26 million values.

The importance of this measure was repeatedly emphasised during the study. Once the data had been analysed, we would have extensive insights into the health of today's children and young people and the possible factors influencing this. This would form the basis for further improving the health of children and young people in the future. We were very curious about the results, as it was already known at the time that immunisations would also be included in the survey.

Just one year after the study was completed, the results were presented to the public on over 900 pages in a double issue of the Bundesgesundheitsblatt.

There are now around 200 scientific publications relating to analyses and findings from the KiGGS study. However, the connection between immunisation status and health has been completely omitted. Not even the topic of "vaccinations as a trigger for allergies", which is often discussed in public, was mentioned.

However, it was promised the raw data

to make this study available to interested parties as a "public use file". I was all the more pleased that I was actually able to order this database extract at the beginning of 2009 and actually received it for a nominal fee of EUR 90. Until the very end, I was worried that my application simply be rejected, especially as I had honestly explained in the form what I intended to do with the data. Anyone who knows me knows that I love maths, numbers, logical connections and big challenges. Since spring 2009, I have been working with the data in order to work out and publish new correlations.

After the first publication of my results in June 2009, I was sharply attacked by the Robert Koch Institute. They claimed that my analyses were grossly flawed and technically incorrect. Not a single one of the correlations I had published was correct. They were working on a rebuttal. This would be published in the specialist journal "Deutsches Ärzteblatt" by mid-2010. To date, nothing of the sort has. It is left to the imagination of the enlightened reader to work out the underlying reasons why one of Germany's highest federal health authorities has chosen a person who is doing honest and proper educational work to be the subject of this report.



unethically and then fails to provide proof of the allegations. However, I am now convinced that we are entering a phase where the damage caused by a greedy pharmaceutical industry and its henchmen can no longer be covered up.

This category also includes the results of the so-called TOKEN study, which was also conducted under the auspices of the Robert Koch Institute. After the 6-fold vaccines, which are administered several times to small babies from the 9th week of life (!!), were criticised for an accumulation of deaths, all unclear deaths in the first two years of life were investigated for their connection to vaccinations from 2005 to 2008. The results were supposed to be published at the end of 2008. To date, however, not a single publication has been made on this subject. In the spring of this year, an announced presentation on this topic at a specialist conference was even withdrawn at short notice and without giving any reasons. Possible explanations as to why vaccines can cause this terrible damage will follow later. We can only speculate about the reasons for these enormous delays. However, the fact that the manufacturers of the two 6-vaccines provided most of the funding for this study certainly does not contribute to its neutrality.

But now back to my analyses of the KiGGS study. At first, it seemed as if I wouldn't get anywhere. The

The description of the data provided was inconsistent and not detailed enough.

In this study, too, attempts were made to lump together the neglected or very sick and therefore less vaccinated children with the deliberately unvaccinated or differentially vaccinated children in order to falsify the results. I had reckoned with that. However, after a few days of analysing



The study was somewhat disheartened by the fact that too many valuable answers to vaccination questions were removed from the data set after the end of the study. The answers from two pages of the doctor's interview on vaccination questions were completely missing.

For example, the answers to the questions: "What reasons did you have for not having your child vaccinated?" or "Did your child tolerate a vaccination badly?" were removed from the public data set.

These answers would have made it possible to differentiate more precisely between children who were deliberately not vaccinated at all and those who were not vaccinated for reasons such as illness or sloppiness. This distinction would have been important because children who are sick and therefore little or not at all immunised worsen the statistics of children who are deliberately not immunised and are bursting with health. Nevertheless, at this point I already had the first clear indications from the scanty data that this very extensive study contained important results on the better health of unvaccinated children and adolescents.

However, since the exact number of individual vaccinations is specified in the data set for each child examined, I can present unexpectedly clear and unambiguous correlations despite these adversities.

Some of these correlations between vaccination status and health are even statistically significant or highly significant. This means that the probability that this correlation was found purely by chance due to an error is 5% or 1%. In statistics, this probability of error is a measure of quality.

the informative value.


Anyone who knows an allergy sufferer or is affected themselves knows about the reduction in quality of life caused by this chronic illness. Allergies have been discussed for decades as a side effect of vaccinations. An experienced homeopathic doctor reported at the 1st Vaccination Critics' Conference organised by me in 1997 that it was only since the introduction of the smallpox vaccination that there had been any signs of allergies.

of hay fever. Further historical research has shown that wherever vaccinations were given, allergies broke out in epidemics shortly afterwards. Recently, the vehement supporters of vaccination and health authorities have increasingly been spouting the nonsense that vaccinations would even protect against allergies. After all, vaccination was compulsory in the GDR and allergies were much rarer there. I know from reliable sources that in the GDR children with allergies were not vaccinated at all or were vaccinated very reluctantly because people were aware of these connections!

Curious, I first focussed on these correlations during the evaluation and obtained wonderful evidence.

It is also regrettable that the group of children for whom the information on immunisations is completely missing is quite large at almost 7%. This group presumably includes many children who have deliberately not been immunised or who have received few vaccinations. In order to unpleasant disputes with the study doctors, the parents obviously refused to provide information on immunisations. This attitude on the part of the parents is understandable, as the study doctors put the parents directly under pressure put to close existing immunisation gaps. We parents of unvaccinated children know this scaremongering and pressurising well enough. My analyses will provide a powerful counter-argument here.

Another surprise for me was the differences depending on the vaccination and social class



dermatitis almost twice as often, hay fever more than twice as often and nickel allergies five times as often as unvaccinated children.

tetanus vaccination was the least refused vaccination and the rubella vaccination the most refused.

If one could now filter out the very sick and therefore unvaccinated children from the group of unvaccinated children, the differences would be even greater.

Nine paediatric vaccinations were recorded: tetanus, diphtheria, polio (childhood polio), HIB (bacterial meningitis), pertussis (whooping cough), hepatitis B (jaundice) - usually administered as a 6-vaccination, and measles, mumps, rubella - usually administered as a 3-vaccination or, nowadays, as a 6-vaccination.



administered as a quadruple vaccination with chickenpox.

The differences in vaccination rates clearly show that the vaccination decision is often a very conscious process and that there are many middle ways between being fully vaccinated and not vaccinated at all. These individualised routes are becoming increasingly difficult for parents because there are almost only combination vaccines available, which boil down to an all or nothing decision. This evidence of a very conscious individual decision is an important argument against the generalised accusation of neglecting our children and irresponsibility or even vaccination fatigue. After all, the right to raise and care for our children is enshrined in the German constitution. We must demand this more strongly again. We have the best arguments here! We parents know exactly what is good for our children and what we want.

Of the 17,641 study participants, 217 were not vaccinated against tetanus, i.e. 1.32% of those who either presented a vaccination card or stated that they deliberately did not have one. Here I take into account a weighting factor that was introduced in the study to compensate for possible bias, e.g. due to non-participation of randomly selected participants.

I have chosen tetanus as an example here, as many parents are most afraid of this disease. If you extrapolate the rate of unvaccinated children to all children and adolescents in Germany, well over 100,000 children and adolescents between the ages of one and 17 are not against tetanus. Despite this, there has not been a single death in this age group in Germany for decades. The few children who fall ill every year according to hospital statistics (between 4 and 0 children per year) are successfully treated in hospital. The immunisation status of the sick children is not known. Vaccinated children are certainly among the sick.

Incidentally, there were 1,779 children in this study who had already received more than 30 vaccinations in their lives, mostly hidden in combination vaccines, of course. On average, the children and adolescents between the ages of 10 and 17 had received almost 23 vaccinations.

tions. An absolutely terrifying idea for me, even if you consider the additives contained in the vaccines, such as preservatives, surfactants (fat-soluble substances that are also used in detergents), aluminium and antibiotics. In my opinion, these additives are responsible for a large proportion of the side effects after vaccinations, have not been researched in practice and are unfortunately rarely stated in the package leaflet.

Instead of mercury, which previously used as a preservative in vaccines, phenoxyethanol has been used for some years now. This chemical substance is used to euthanise fish and preserve cosmetics, among other things. Databases for cosmetics manufacturers show that phenoxyethanol is known to cause allergies, skin rashes, nervous disorders, problems with the immune system and organ damage, as well as genetic damage in animal experiments and is suspected of causing cancer. The safety data sheet states that this chemical must not be disposed of in household waste or groundwater. However, all babies from the 9th week of life should be injected four times with two and a half milligrams each time! The specialist literature describes a case of a 1 ½ year old infant who had a severe allergic reaction after a vaccine that could be attributed to this additive.

A particularly critical aspect of this additive is that, although the European regulatory authority lists the type and quantity of this additive in the detailed English documentation, the German product information in the vaccine packet and also in the more detailed information for doctors does not contain any reference to it! This can only be explained by the fact that this additive does not have to be specified in Germany, especially as the manufacturer's product information intended for Australia does contain this information!

The question remains as to how a German doctor is supposed to provide patients or their parents with comprehensive information if such important information is provided by the manufacturer with the approval of the

withheld from the authorities. If insufficient information is provided, the parents cannot give effective consent to the vaccination and the doctor is committing an offence from a legal perspective. From this perspective, the manufacturers are the doctors to commit a criminal offence.

Considering that this and many other additives are neither declared nor investigated during the authorisation of the vaccine or afterwards, it is not surprising that vaccinations are increasingly being discussed in connection with damage to the nerves, organs and immune system and that many connections are completely unknown.

Another important aspect in the health of children and adolescents are disorders in the development of the brain. Here, too, there have been competent critics for decades who see connections between all possible minor and major brain diseases and vaccinations. Brain maturation during the very important first months and years of life would be permanently impaired by vaccinations. So far there has been no conclusive evidence.

Attention deficit disorder (ADHD) with and without hyperactivity is one of the increasing abnormalities in children and adolescents and is often treated with drugs by conventional medicine. Learning and developmental disorders in children and adolescents are also being diagnosed more and more frequently and "treated" with all kinds of medication and therapies.

All the more important is the confirmation from the evaluation of the study that early and frequent vaccination harms brain development. The vaccination age is being forward more and more. In Germany, a baby vaccinated according to the recommendations receives its first vaccination dose (6 doses) after the 8th week of life, in the USA 6 weeks after birth. The only way for such a small creature to express the unbearable agony and pain after a vaccination is to scream shrilly and continuously. This cry is referred to in the specialist literature as a "brain cry" and is a known side effect after immunisation. In the authorisation studies are usually



Babies who were excluded from the study after the first or second vaccination

**3 times more often in speech therapy with a speech therapist.**




Figure 2: Connection between developmental disorders of the brain and vaccinations

show this behavioural abnormality and cry unusually. I know from reports of severe vaccine damage that mothers have noticed this screaming, which goes through the marrow and bones and often lasts for hours, even after the first vaccination. It is not uncommon for the paediatrician who is then informed to reprimand the mother as a hysterical person and continue vaccinating. This is serious malpractice! Every package insert for a vaccine states that vaccination should not be continued after an intolerance reaction. Epileptic convulsions then occur after the second or third vaccination.

Dr Buchwald, our most renowned vaccination critic in Germany, has long reported in his lectures that he pays particular attention to slight damage to the optic nerves, which are also cranial nerves, caused by vaccinations. Damage to the optic nerves is even explicitly mentioned as a side effect in the package leaflet for paediatric vaccinations. It is therefore not surprising that unvaccinated children need glasses less often. Time and again, people suddenly go blind after a vaccination without any other cause than the previous vaccination being found.

**Vaccinated people are more likely to have glasses, suffer more frequently from attention deficit disorder and have had to**

If vaccinated children already have more frequent allergies and deficits in brain development, are they at least better protected against infectious diseases and therefore healthier?

Vaccinations increase susceptibility to infections, regardless of whether they are gastrointestinal infections or simple colds

deals. Since not only the information as to whether the child has received a certain vaccination

The fact that the data set did not contain the exact number of vaccinations administered, but also the exact number of vaccinations administered, enabled a more precise evaluation of a possible correlation between the number of vaccination doses administered and the average number of infections in the last year.

**The more immunisations, the more susceptible children became to infections.** From the pharmaceutical industry's point of view, this is a highly desirable side effect of vaccinations, as it easily creates new sales markets for drugs to treat infections. This effect can be explained by the stress that vaccinations put on the immune system and the resulting increased susceptibility to infections.

Vaccinated children are also much more frequently affected by serious infections such as pneumonia or middle ear infections. 7.75 % of unvaccinated children have had pneumonia and 11.07 % of unvaccinated children have had otitis media.

vaccinated children. At some point, 39.62% of unvaccinated children and over 53.46% of vaccinated children had suffered from a painful middle ear infection.

Over 5% of vaccinated children are diagnosed with scoliosis, which is a twisting or curvature of the spine. You now be wondering what this has to do with vaccinations. The cause of scoliosis




Figure 3: Average number of infections in the past year in relation to the number of vaccinations

nerve diseases are also called neuropathies are discussed, which are mentioned in almost every vaccine package insert.



stand. The muscles next to the vertebral column are incorrectly programmed, so to speak, so that the vertebrae assume an incorrect position over time due to persistent incorrect muscle tension. Incidentally, in the group of unvaccinated children, there was not a single case of scoliosis.

What is shocking in this context is that this connection has not been recognised at all to date and that

**diarrhoea, as the immune system is damaged by the vaccinations.**

Attentive and experienced parents and therapists have been observing such correlations for decades. It is therefore not surprising that the more siblings there are in the family, the less vaccination is given.

Depending on the age group, unvaccinated children have on average up to one

This is easier for mothers because the information is written in a difficult technical language. The higher a mother's level of education, the less she is vaccinated. Of course, I do not consider mothers with a lower level of education to be more irresponsible. I very much regret the fact that there is still far too little generally understandable, vaccine-critical information for everyone. Incidentally, the education of the fathers does not seem to play a role in the vaccination decision. Unfortunately, I can confirm this from the more than one hundred lectures I have given over the last 14 years. Only a fraction of the audience are fathers. I sincerely hope that the decision to vaccinate will be made by both parents and that more and more fathers will take care of this important issue in the future.

My analyses of the data from the KIGGS study have shown that unvaccinated children are significantly healthier and more resilient. But what about the so-called immunisation protection? Are the vaccinated children at least protected against the diseases against which they are vaccinated with a high risk of side effects? Yes, there is obviously some protection against the disease in question.




Figure 4: Connection between vaccinations and serious diseases

Consequently, it is not investigated in any study, but from a statistical point of view it has such a high significance that it can be considered a is labelled "highly significant".

**Vaccinated children and adolescents have a much higher risk of contracting pneumonia or otitis media, over 5 % of vaccinated children suffer from spinal deformity, while there was not a single case of this among unvaccinated children.**

In the case of viral diseases such as chickenpox, **against** - or should it be more correctly said **for**? - which have only recently been vaccinated, but also bacterial diseases such as schistosomiasis or salmonella diarrhoea, it has been proven that unvaccinated children are much more resistant.

**Vaccinated children are much more susceptible to infectious diseases such as chickenpox, scarlet fever and salmonella.**




Figure 5: Connection between vaccinations and infectious diseases caused by viruses and bacteria

Dealing with information on the subject of vaccination is not easy.

However, it is not clear whether this protection is only due to a certain bias on the part of the treating doctors. For example, a doctor tends to





In a child vaccinated against measles, it is more likely that the rash will be attributed to another cause and in an unvaccinated child it is more likely to be misdiagnosed. However, let us now assume that the data here is reasonably reliable.

Approximately 10 out of 100 children who are not vaccinated against measles get measles, while 6 out of 100 children who are vaccinated against measles still get the disease. Similar effects can be observed with mumps and rubella. According to the study data, these vaccinations therefore have a protective effect of around 40%. In children vaccinated against measles,

However, if you consider that in Germany all unvaccinated children are excluded from school in the event of a suspected case of measles because they allegedly pose a danger to others, as they could allegedly be infectious in contrast to the vaccinated children, it becomes clear to what extent human rights and constitutional principle of equality are being violated here! As so many vaccinated children still get measles, this arbitrary unequal treatment is extremely problematic from a legal point of view.

The other factors that influence the health of children and adolescents were not analysed in this study.

I want people to overcome their fears instead of falling for the pharmaceutical industry's fear-based marketing. Having four children myself, I know very well how easy it is to scare parents through constant and subtle manipulation. The only thing that can help is information and a good dose of humour. Imagine if fewer and fewer people had themselves and their children vaccinated and nothing or the opposite happened, i.e. we became healthier and healthier! From the point of view of the pharmaceutical industry and the authorities and politicians it controls, this would be a disaster!

The conclusion of my analyses of this impressive study:




Figure 6: Relationship between vaccinations and vaccination protection

**Unvaccinated children are healthier than vaccinated children in every respect. There is evidence for this in the data from the KIGGS study as well as logical explanations based on the effects of the additives.**

**The defamation of unvaccinated children and their parents must be stopped immediately, as must direct and indirect compulsory vaccination, such as the exclusion of unvaccinated children from school.**

Further and ongoing information on the evaluation of the KIGGS study and other topics can be found on my homepage [www.efi-online.de](http://www.efi-online.de).

Source of the data:  
Public-Use-File KIGGS, Child and Adolescent Health Survey 2003-2006, Robert Koch-Institute, Berlin 2008.

Photo on the first page:  
Fotolia.com, Pure joie de vivre, Klaus-Peter Adler #13120237

who nevertheless had measles were There were as many as 63 children who had received three or more measles vaccinations. According to the manufacturers and authorities, however, the vaccines against measles, mumps and rubella provide almost perfect protection against the disease. These statements contradict the poor protective effect demonstrated in this study. It cannot be ruled out that the doctor treating a vaccinated child is more likely to misinterpret the measles and, conversely, that he is more likely to misdiagnose measles in an unvaccinated child. The protective effect of the vaccinations would therefore be even worse.

captured. Particularly anxious parents have their children immunised more and earlier or go to the doctor more often. From a holistic perspective, however, it is precisely this anxiety that makes children particularly vulnerable. It can therefore be assumed that part of the poorer health is due to such additional influences. However, fear is not only the worst possible counsellor for parents and a poor starting point for raising healthy, responsible and in every respect resilient children. Parents who maltreat their children with vaccinations and other conventional medical measures should question their own attitude to life and seek help.

**Responsible and informed parents do not have their children vaccinated!**

